Case 2:24-cv-02787-JAM-CKD Document 43 Filed 10/16/24 Page 1 of 4

1	Brian R. Chavez-Ochoa (CA Bar No. 190289)*	
2	chavezochoa@yahoo.com	
	Chavez-Ochoa Law Offices, Inc.	
3	4 Jean Street, Suite 4	
	Valley Springs, CA 95252	
4	Telephone: (209) 772-3013	
	Facsimile: (209) 772-3090	
5		
	Johannes Widmalm-Delphonse (VA Bar No. 96040)**	
6	jwidmalmdelphonse@adflegal.org	
7	Alliance Defending Freedom	
′	44180 Riverside Parkway	
8	Lansdowne, VA 20176	
	Telephone: (571) 707-4655	
9	Facsimile: (571) 707-4656	
10		
10	Jonathan A. Scruggs (AZ Bar No. 030505) **	
11	jscruggs@ADFlegal.org	
	Alliance Defending Freedom	
12	15100 N. 90th Street	
	Scottsdale, AZ 85260	
13	Telephone: (480) 444-0020	
	Facsimile: (480) 444-0028	
14		
15	Counsel for Plaintiffs The Babylon Bee, LLC	
	and Kelly Chang Rickert	
16	*Local Counsel	
	**Admitted pro hac vice	
17	Admitted pio nat vice	
18		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
19		
	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
20		I
O 1	The Babylon Bee, LLC, and Kelly	Civil No. 2:24-cv-02787-WBS-SCR
21	Chang Rickert,	
22		Notice of Related Case
	Plaintiffs,	- ,
23		Judge William B. Shubb
	V.	
24	Robert A. Bonta, et al.,	
25	NODELL A. Bolica, et al.,	
کا	Defendants.	
26	2010manto.	
		ı
27		

28

the following related case: Kohls v. Bonta, Case No. 24-cv-2527,

Both cases involve the same defendants: Rob Bonta and Shirley N. We-

ber. Both cases involve similar claims against the same California

laws: First and Fourteenth Amendment claims against AB 2839 and AB

2655. Cal. Const. art. V, § 13; Cal. Elec. Code § 20516. Both cases

involve plaintiffs who want to engage in speech prohibited by AB

2839, including some of the same speech. Compare V. Compl. ¶¶ 5-7,

Kohls v. Bonta, No. 24-cv-2527 (Sept. 17, 2024), ECF No. 1 (describ-

ing fictitious Kamala Harris campaign ad), with V. Compl. ¶¶ 119-21,

The Babylon Bee, LLC v. Bonta, No. 24-cv-2787 (Sept. 30, 2024), ECF

questions of law too: whether AB 2839 and AB 2655 unconstitutionally

regulate the speech of everyday citizens and organizations who want

to speak about the upcoming presidential election. Compare V. Compl.

trict of California. The Central District Court recognized that these

two cases "involve[] substantially similar issues and parties." Min.

Kohls v. Bonta, enjoining AB 2839 for being a content-based regula-

tion of speech that violated the plaintiff's First Amendment rights.

Plaintiffs The Babylon Bee, LLC, and Kelly Chang Rickert seek the

Plaintiffs initially filed this action in the Central Dis-

Judge Mendez of this district then granted an injunction in

 $\P\P$ 4-16, Kohls, ECF No. 1, with V. Compl. $\P\P$ 1-6, ECF No. 1 (this

No. 1 (describing same fictitious ad). Both cases involve similar

Pursuant to Local Rule 123, Plaintiffs notify the Court of

Kohls v. Bonta shares many similarities with this case.

2

1.

2.

filed Sept. 17, 2024.

- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- _ _
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- ___
- 17
- 18
- 19

case).

Order, ECF No. 15 (this case).

- 20
- 2122
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27

28

Notice of Related Case 1

- 5. After this Court issued its preliminary injunction, the Central District Court transferred this case to the Eastern District because "the balance of factors favor[ed] transfer" and transferring the case would "serve the interests of justice and the convenience of the parties and witnesses." Order, ECF No. 33 (this case).
- 6. Given the significant overlap between these two cases in questions of fact, law, and requests for relief, and given that Judge Mendez has already ruled on the legal issues presented in Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction, assigning this action to Judge Mendez will likely save substantial judicial and party resources.

Case 2:24-cv-02787-JAM-CKD Document 43 Filed 10/16/24 Page 4 of 4

DATED: October 16, 2024 $\frac{\textit{s/ Johannes Widmalm-Delphonse}}{\textit{Johannes Widmalm-Delphonse}}$ Counsel for Plaintiffs PROOF OF SERVICE I hereby certify that I filed a true and accurate copy of the foregoing document with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system, which automatically sends an electronic notification to all attorneys of record. DATED this 16th day of October, 2024. s/ Johannes Widmalm-Delphonse Johannes Widmalm-Delphonse Counsel for Plaintiffs

Notice of Related Case 3